



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_14493
Title of the Manuscript:	On Large-scale Angles Cosmology
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Sections 3, 4 and 5 are confusing and need to be rewritten. Claims about supermassive black holes being formed from mergers of smaller black holes and dark matter being composed of antigravitons need to be backed-up with citations of trustworthy sources. The conclusion is especially poorly written and confusing. No citations about "Thread Big Bang" or "tangent energy" are found in the text.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	There are minor grammar and spelling errors in the introduction and section 2. Angle parameter is repeated in the abstract.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	I would accept this paper for publication if sections 3 and 4 were deleted, section 2 was expanded and the conclusion was rewritten.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	University of Houston-Clear Lake, USA