



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12881
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTRON DIFFRACTION AND ELECTRON MICROSCOPYSTUDY OF FILMS CuGaS ₂ .
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Impersonal form should be rather used (thus not “we”, “us”, etc.).</p> <p>Some information about NaCl, KCl and LiF crystal morphologies should be added since it can be a key for the data interpretation (rows 37 – 38) .</p> <p>English should be checked and corrected in some places.</p> <p>“Conclusions” section with clear indication of the achievements of the work would be appreciated.</p>	I agree with all comments and necessary changes will be made to the paper.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Experimental analytical work is done correctly. Proper methods of the data interpretation are used.</p>	