



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_PSIJ_12881
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTRON DIFFRACTION AND ELECTRON MICROSCOPYSTUDY OF FILMS CuGaS ₂ .
Type of the Article	Full Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>This paper deals with electron diffraction and electron microscopy study of film CuGaS₂.</p> <p>Dear Author,</p> <p>From my view of this work, the problem of this manuscript is not organized well and lack of explanation on results and discussion part with supported from previous or related work (reference).</p> <p>More comment are lists below:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Please follow the journal format. 2. Do explain the importance of this research and highlight the motivation and novelty so that the achievement of the work is clearly understood. Also specify the possible application of this material so that all kinds of readers benefit from this manuscript. 3. No explanations in how the sample was prepare. Please explain the preparation of sample. 4. There is no conclusion to conclude in this present paper. 5. Written English is still poor throughout the text (consider revising phrase construction, wrong words use). Please check and revise the manuscript before resubmission. 	<p>I agree with all comments and necessary changes will be made to the paper.</p> <p>Thank you for the consideration.</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	For your information, manuscripts are read not only by specialists, but they are accessible to the whole scientific community. They should be understandable to everybody.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		