SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Ophthalmology Research: An International Journal**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_OR_14907**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Calcinosis cutis of the eyelid**
Type of the Article	**Case Study**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**On page # 1 line 7 sinister can be replaced by different. Why did you write PIC and not Fig. #...? On page # 1 line 27 clacinosis of course is calcinosis. Please clarify all the acronyms (like HPE) the first time that they are used in the paper. On page # 2 line 47 to finish a sentence please write a full stop.**	
Minor REVISION comments	The References must all be formatted in the same way.	
Optional/General comments	**This case report is well written by an English speaking writer.**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Italo Giuffre**
Department, University & Country	**Department of Ophthalmology – Catholic University of Roma , Italy**