



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_14216
Title of the Manuscript:	The efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of patients with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Major statistical issues are not fixed and should be corrected before any new submission. English and edition should be improved and we suggest authors a native-english senior-reading. Suggestions from first review were not fixed (diagnostic criteria, lumbar puncture results). Major corrections: We are very surprised that control group was corrected from 'no treatment' to receiving a 'placebo treatment'. Why such important information was omitted in the first version? How was the placebo? Were patients or investigators blinded for treatment group? Randomization still needs to be explicated. How did you treat relapses? Were clinical investigators blinded to treatment group or were they same physicians? The number of relapses is provided, but how many patients relapsed? Are multiple relapses observed? 2 patients from control group developed MS, but no data is given in the treatment group. We think that patients developing MS should probably be discarded from analysis, or at least, analysis should be done with and without including them. Since one the main outcome of this work is the prevention of relapses, a statistical analysis of relapse-risk must be undertaken. Stratification of patients from an improvement point of view seems spurious. These classes may be used as qualitative parameters and statistical repartition may be studied between main and control groups. We doubt that a statistical difference in such repartitions could be demonstrated. Minor corrections: Data are redundant: Table 1 and 2 should be joined. An overall EDSS should be provided for each group.	



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

Table 4 and 5 should be joined.

Comparison data between basal EDSS of main and control groups are not provided.

Fig 4 is still incorrect: no evident lesion is visible. Inflammatory lesions in T1 are NOT in high intensity.

The added case story is useless.

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	France