



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAMPS_14216
Title of the Manuscript:	The efficacy of intravenous immunoglobulin in the treatment of patients with acute disseminated encephalomyelitis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Authors must indicate the details of therapy given to the control group. 2. Was ethical approval obtained for this study from an ethics committee? 3. What is the standard of care for ADEM? 4. The authors fail to discuss their observations after presenting the findings. How do their findings differ or corroborate previous published studies on same topic?	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Under abstract, line 15 – ‘was analysed’ should be used Delete ‘has’ from line 25 of abstract Under introduction, line 56 – use ‘where’ not ‘when’ Under introduction, line 60 –delete ‘provided for’ and insert ‘believed to contribute to treatment efficacy due’ Under Methods – line 83, delete ‘has been’ and replace with ‘was’ Line 86 – delete ‘have been’ and replace with ‘were’	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Please clarify the ethical issues if any	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olubunmi Ogunrin
Department, University & Country	Neurology Unit, Department of Medicine University of Benin, Nigeria