



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_11818
Title of the Manuscript:	Melliferous plants threatened to disappearance in Togo
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	General: The article appears to be a mix up of methods whose results or findings are not well presented in the article. This has diverted the purpose of the article. The period in which data was collected i.e. 2008-2009 may mean that a lot has happened between 2008 and 2014. Thus I have noted that the data presented in the article is outdated Abstract: The abstract does not give an overview of the study. The author failed to give the summary of the key findings. Due to this it has been concluded the abstract needs improvements. It must serve as a short reflection of the key findings and recommendations. Introduction: The author needs to pay attention to grammar. I find it difficult to follow some of the sentences. Methodological approach: This section is very confusing. It is not clear which method the author used, what was the rationale behind using that method and which set of information was extracted? The author need to fine tune his/her methodological approach such that it flows well and give the real picture of what was done. I have noticed that the author did pollen analysis. What was the purpose of this? If the study was based "on inventory of food melliferous plants threatened to	Thank you for your serious corrections. I have tried to write again the all manuscript



SDI Review Form 1.6

	disappear in Togo? Results and Discussion: Because of the above comments, this whole section is difficult to believe. The author need to perfect her/her approach and re-write the article. This will give credit to his/her discussion and conclusion	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		