



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_13700
Title of the Manuscript:	FEASIBILITY OF SACK POTATO PRODUCTION AS A NEW TECHNIQUE USED IN SOME URBAN PARTS OF ZIMBABWE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	▪ Be specific on the abstract whether the low potato yield is from the potato sack production or growing in the field. ▪ Please explain how you arrived at fifty questionnaire respondents ▪ Enrich the reference section Align the recommendations with the results of your findings	Amended Because there is no statistics available with sack potato producers we decided to choose a sample that exceeds the minimum size of an ideal sample which is 30 Done Done
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	▪ Indicate the percentage of respondent who reported labor problems especially in filling the sacks. ▪ Indicate the percentage of respondent observed land degradation when soil was collected in the forests for sale to potato producers. ▪ Indicate the percentage of respondent who reported water problems.	Done Done done
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		