



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Agriculture and Ecology Research International
Manuscript Number:	2014_JAERI_13636
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Miraba Soil Conservation Practices on Soil Erosion Control and Crop Yield in the Usambara Mountains, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• While, the study is conducted in the mountain hill, then some physical properties must need to incorporate in the description of the study area, such as, slope, elevation range etc. • The location map should be more informative in terms of application of new techniques (RS-GIS) in your field. • You can use the digital elevation data (ASTER or SRTM) and recent satellite data to enrich your pictorial representation of the location map from a scientific background. A cross-profile of hill side slope and relative elevation you can easily represent from ASTER or SRTM data using GIS software.	Slope, elevation range have been incorporated in the description of the study area Location map has been improved by digital elevation model
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• Please recheck the section numbering, there is some mistake, e.g. conclusion and recommendation part should be under 4.0, but in your text it is 2.7. • Be sure you have no acknowledgment section? • Reference section should be rechecked, some mistakes are there.	Numbering was corrected accordingly Acknowledgement section has been added Reference section has been checked for some mistakes
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The work is a good attempt for the agricultural development in your area. Please keep continue and be close to local farmers also.	Thank you for this observation and valuable comments