



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_13512
Title of the Manuscript:	Determination of Physico-chemical Parameters, Zinc and Iron Levels in Domestic Waters of Katsina Metropolis, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript showed the monitoring data on the water quality of the domestic waters of Katsina Metropolis, Nigeria. The manuscript discussion only the monitoring data and suggestion the use of the water. The author should make the discussion to show the new finding or evidence	The authors disagree with the reviewer that the new findings or evidence were not discussed, refer to: Line172-176 for discussion and reason for level of Zn in Rainwater; Line 192-194 for discussion and comparative analysis on Zn in Borehole water; Line200-205 for discussion and comparative analysis on levels of Fe in public tap water and borehole water; Line 210-213 for levels of Fe in well water.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		