



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Pure and Applied Chemistry
Manuscript Number:	2014_IRJPAC_12304
Title of the Manuscript:	Nanomaterials life cycle analysis: Health and safety practices, standards and regulations – past, present and future perspective
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Nanomaterials life cycle analysis of their health and safety practices, standards and regulations was comprehensively reviewed; the manuscript was well arranged and written. This manuscript could be accepted without any revisions. Please clarify us ethical issues, if any.	I think that any commercialized product has to be tested, and proven to be safe for the environment and health of anybody in contact with it. As long as there is no proof of total safety, there is an ethical issue, as you are not sure of the impact of the product.