



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14972
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF SCHOOL HEALTH SERVICES AMONG PRIVATE AND GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS OF BELGAUM- A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	See the manuscript for the details.	DKA 1, 2, 3,5, 6,7,8: changes done in manuscript as per suggestions of reviewer and highlighted in yellow colour DKA-4: These are 10 Aspects as recommended by IAP, assessment of which is the objective of our study. DKA 9 : cross sectional study is a type of descriptive study so authors have changed in the manuscript study design as descriptive study. DKA 10, 11: STUDY AREA was selected as all the 6 centers under the public private partnership of department of community medicine JNMC Belgaum and all the schools under them were used as study sample using universal sampling technique. DKA 12: Assent was taken using assent forms from all students using as they were minor by age. DKA 13; It was a pre tested and predesigned questionnaire as pilot study was done on 10 % of sample before starting the study and the questionnaire was based on IAP recommendations reference no. 4 and 5. DKA 14: Chi square is used for the categorical variable . DKA 15: The study sample is all the schools of study area using universal sampling and study participants were school authorities that are headmaster and teachers to enquire regarding



SDI Review Form 1.6

		facilities available and schools students were participants to assess the excess baggage that is if it exceeded 10 % of their weight and regarding physical punishment they were enquired. Most of the criteria were assessed by inspection by the investigator.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Please clarify the ethical issues if any	As it was a cross sectional study permission was taken from head of department to conduct the study and Informed consent was taken from every participant using consent forms for each participant (school authorities) and assent was taken from every student using assent forms as they were minors.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		