



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14972
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF SCHOOL HEALTH SERVICES AMONG PRIVATE AND GOVERNMENT SCHOOLS OF BELGAUM- A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	According to ICMR guidelines for every type of study, even clinical or non-clinical ethical clearance is compulsory.	As it was a cross sectional study permission was taken from head of department to conduct the study and Informed consent was taken from every participant using consent forms for each participant (school authorities) and assent was taken from every student using assent forms as they were minors.
Optional/General comments	Good analysis of comparison of school health services among private and government schools of belgaum. Length of introduction and discussion good, clear, and the methodology is superb. It's advisable to researcher add recommendation which may be beneficial on later stage to make better policy for concerning govt for the betterment and quality of life of future children among govt and private schools.	Recommendation : it is already been mentioned as a part of conclusion that it will help government to set standards to certify schools to be child friendly.