



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14429
Title of the Manuscript:	Population stress, civil unrest and the male to female ratio at birth in Chile, Argentina, Brazil, Australia and Finland
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	In Results section, all the time the author should use capital letter for the abbreviation (Fig). Legend of the figure should be below the figure. In Results, the authors use the abbreviation (fig) while in tables he use the word figure not the abbreviation. He should be consistent in using the term. In Discussion, the authors have not given sufficient explanation for M/F variation in Chile after the military coup. The authors also did not give a reasonable explanation for the variation in the m/f ratio in Australia during the 50th. He was speaking about the elections and the conspiracy theory of communist spy rings in Australia. The explanation is based on gesture.	The word fig has been capitalised to Fig. as requested. Legends have been placed placed under figures as requested. Figure has been changed to fig. as requested. This has now been mentioned in this discussion. I agree that this was the only explanation that could be identified in Australia's history as leading to this M/F perturbation. The final paragraph in the Australia discussion section has been reworded.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Revisit the reference list, e.g. ref. 10. Edition number should be after the title of the book. In all references, the author did not repeat unnecessary digit of the page number, however, in references 8, 17, 23 and 25 he repeated all digits.	Fleiss reference fixed. Other references also fixed as requested.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	None	