



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14198
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization of HIV Testing and Counseling Services by Men in the Bolgatanga Municipality
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	• Statistical part in the methodology is not reflected in the abstract--- line 320-324. • Put reference for line 35...ending with Hiv worldwide. • Men, Ghana can never be keywords • Put literature review on its own. Separate it from your introduction. • Replace uptake with utilization. • Mention all the authors in 601 (remove ..et al- this is not allowed in publishing). • Put the date you assessed the reference line 606.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	• I feel that 2 weeks is not enough for such kind of study. • Put these abbreviations in full HIV, CDC, VCT, CHPS, GDHS. •	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The whole paper is of substance but its too lengthy. The paper should be summarized from 22 to 14 pages. Yes clarify the ethical issue, If any	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Moi University, Kenya