



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_14415
Title of the Manuscript:	Presumptive treatment of malaria during a peak transmission season in a malaria endemic setting: a cross-sectional study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The manuscript attends the Journal scope. I just think that there are more current references that should be cited when referring to Microscopy or RDTs than the ones from 2001 to 2005 used by the authors. There are many references about the same RDT used by the author that are more current than those referred in the text. There is no item referring to Acknowledgements or Competing Interests.	All the old references have been replaced with more recent ones except reference 2 (Berkley et al, 2005). A section on acknowledgements has been added, line 169-173 A section on competing interests has been added, lines 174-175
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Line 111: Please, inform the parasitemia found in the 6 positive BS. Line 103: I don't know what is this "microscopists with consistently high Kappa scores" would you please explain this part or exclude from the text?	The parasitaemia in all the 6 positive BS was less than 150 parasites/ μ l (line 137-138) The phrase previously in line 103 has been deleted/ excluded from the text
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		