



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJTDH_13450
Title of the Manuscript:	Economic stress and the secondary sex ratio: Ireland, Iceland, Greece and Latvia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment(<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The structure of this study is coherent with the targets proposed. Nevertheless, there are likely many bias not considered by the authors.	The possibility of other sources of bias has been mentioned close to the end of the discussion, and the impossibility of eliminating this.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	the manuscript does not include new results about economic stress and the secondary sex ratio: Ireland, Iceland, Greece and Latvia	The new approach is the investigation of M/F and its relation with GDP, which is novel.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The authors evaluated Economic stress and the secondary sex ratio. This article lacks originality.	The only original approach in this paper is as above.