



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_13067
Title of the Manuscript:	An understory comparison of the exotic <i>Phellodendron amurense</i> Rupr. (RUTACEAE) and adjacent native canopy species in an urban and suburban woodland
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ●Rearrange theoretical foundation for the goals ●Details on obtaining the data were partially omitted ●No mention of the statistical test used ●If the test was one way Anova is incorrect ●The statistical hypothesis test is in trouble, definitely ●Invalidates the work ●Missing a posteriori tests ●The results must separate discussion ●The authors do not explain the results obtained ●The discussion is poor ●Lack as a standard writing test results 	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Brazil