

### Author's Feedback:

Attached are the files of my paper with highlighted corrections and responses. Many of the changes had been made prior to these comments so I referred the reviewers to line numbers in a few instances. One reviewer has made a number of comments regarding references not being in the paper so i put in the lines where they appear. I am not certain he/she had received the newer version. In any event, two reviewers had very limited corrections again, while one had significant concerns.

I had changed the papers format based upon this reviewers earlier comments including the separation of Results from Discussion, the description and reporting of statistical tests and the number of references. After examining recent articles in the journal I see that my original format, method of reporting statistics, and number of references is all roughly in line with the last 20-25 papers in the IJPSS. While one particular reviewer is looking for additional references, this paper currently has 25, which is more than the mean amount in the last 8 issues of the journal.

In the future it may be helpful to describe the exact format desired to both the authors and the reviewers of an article (I this hasn't been done already, which I suspect it has).