

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_12828
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND GENETICS OF EXOTIC RICE VARIETIES IN A NIGERIA AGRO-ECOLOGY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)





SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer,
		correct the manuscript and highlight that part in
		the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors
		should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. I think the title of the manuscripts is not sut	able
	(performance evaluation and genetics)	for
	example you can change to (Variab	ility,
	heritability, genetic advance and path coeffic	cient
	studies of some agronomic characters of exotic	rice
	varieties in a Nigeria agro-ecology).	
	2. In line 50 the statement is not clear i unders	tand
	from this statement that you do this experime	nt in
	different environment of tropical africa but i t	hink
	that this experiment was done in only one p	place
	and only one year which is not enough to ex	plain
	relationship between yield and yield compon	ents
	and path analysis.	
	3. What is the reason for obtaining the varieties	from
	Malaysian In short we learn very little about	the
	plants or whether they could be useful.	
	4. A number of the terms used are never defined	and

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

not clear to someone unfamiliar with for example in line 158 the statement is not clear or could not be understand.

The author must grow the local check varieties and

- The author must grow the local check varieties and make a comparison between the exotic varieties and local varieties to compare the performance of local varieties with exotic varieties.
- 6. In line 91 the author said that the plant heights of the genotypes were somehow short statured compare to the parental types. I can't see the value of the parental types. So you can't add this statement if you don't grow the parental type with the exotic varieties to make comparison.
- 7. The author make a result about plant height, number of tillers/hill, number of productive tillers i.e. effective tiller with panicle (ETP), tiller without panicle, flag leaf length, panicle length. What about panicle weight, number of filled grains/panicle, number of unfilled grains/panicle, 1000_grain weight, grain length, grain width.
- 8. Several genetic parameters were estimated like GCV, PCV, h²B, GAM and GA but only GA and h²B were discussed in the manuscript.
- 9. The English is poor, and sometimes hard to understand so english should be checked by native

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

speaker.	
revision is necessary.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Jordan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (07-06-2013)