



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_13728
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Use and Management of the Soil in the Attributes Chemicals of an Ultisol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (<i>if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here</i>)
Compulsory REVISION comments	I think that authors should be compared the result of each treatment and written details and discussion. Why? Example: Line 135: To soil organic matter, the highest contents found were to treatment native vegetation in any soil depth. Why? Please explained and discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments	-	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Kasetsart University, Thailand