

www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_11026
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTAGONISTIC COMPATIBILITY OF Streptomyces griseorubens, Gliocladium virens, and Trichoderma harzianum AGAINTS Fusarium oxysporum CAUSE OF TOMATO WILT DESEASES.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In this work the authors tried to evaluate the efficacy of different biocontrol agents against the wilt disease caused by <i>Fusarium oxysporum</i> on tomato. From scientific point of view, the work is good and can be published. However, a lot of Grammatik and spelling mistakes exist in the article. I suggest that the paper to be reviewed by language specialist. The paper is not well organized. Some sections are merged with each other (e.g P2, L47). The paper needs a lot of formatting and editing	
	The aim of the work is clear and in agreement with the conclusion.	
	Introduction The introduction should be re written in chronological order focusing on the importance of biocontrol agents used in the study and the effect of the pathogen on tomato production in java-indonesia	
	M&M The author must indicate the inoculum volume used in each experiment (e.g. spore/ml) P2, L48. Also the concentration of FOL used to inoculated soil under greenhouse experiments must be indicted. M&M section is missing statistical analysis part. Also missed is the scaling system of disease severity (P3, L99-109).	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

The author should indicate how and what type of statistics was used. The authors should consider the scientific method of writing M&M. In addition to that the author wrote in the conclusion that the identification of <i>Streptomyces griseorubens</i> was done using 16 sRNA, however, the author did not include this in the M&M.	
Results and Discussion Results are descriptive. Data must be described in more details. Statistical differences must be explained. All data presented in figures and tables must be explained in the text. Moreover the interpretation of the data in the discussion is not sufficient. The author should calculate the standard errors of the data in table 1, 2 and 3	
References References in the text should be written according to the journal format between []. The authors need to check again the references list (e.g Ref No 4 has no authorship and ref No 24 is duplicated with two different author names).	

www.sciencedomain.org



SDI Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	As said above, the paper must be reviewed by a language	
	specialist	
	Here are few comments	
	The title must be lower case	
	L68 here and everywhere 0.5 instead of 0,5	
	L71 Trichoderma harzianum here and every where in the	
	manuscript use <i>T. harzianum</i> and the same for other	
	microorganisms	
	L 83 abbreviations below the equation	
	L 90 here and everywhere in the text, never start a	
	sentence with a number (write six instead of 6)	
	L109 edit the formula in the box	
	Figure 1 is not clear. Also the legend of the fig must below	
	the figure axes titles are not understandable	
	L 170 table title above the table	
Optional/General comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Palestine