



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJPSS_13728
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Use and Management of the Soil in the Attributes Chemicals of an Ultisol
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	I think that authors should be compared the result of each treatment and written details and discussion. Why? Example: Line 135: To soil organic matter, the highest contents found were to treatment native vegetation in any soil depth. Why? Please explained and discussed.	The results in the paper were better discussed and compared with the current literature. The discussion was organized by treatment and depths, discussed one by one.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	-	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	-	