SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_IJBcRR_12293**
Title of the Manuscript:	**INFLUENCE OF METHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACTS OF *Vernonia amygdalina* ON CASTOR OIL INDUCED DIARRHEA IN RATS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. The full name of the plant should be provided for proper identification.** **2. Method: Authors should explain the sequence of administration of castor oil and extract/loperamide treatment. Lines 113 – 119 was the plant extract administered after the 7th hour? At what point within the 1- 7 h were the treatment given? Please state clearly so that the method can be well understood.** **3. 2.5: the method for toxicity was stated as modified Lorke's method. The reference for the Lorke's method should be provided and the modification in the method stated.** **4. Table 2: Lemon grass was stated as the plant administered whereas the plant collected and extracted is stated as *Vernonia amygdalina* "bitter leaf". Please clarify.** **5. Please state what statistical analytical tool was used.** **6. Discussion: The results should be discussed without repeating the procedure already described in methodology and without speculation (lines 176 - 178).**	1. Noted 2. NOTED 3. NOTED 4. NOTED 5. NOTED 6. NOTED
Minor REVISION comments	1. Table 3: Please provide key for symbols used +, - and ND 2. Conclusion: Please Re-cast the conclusion as the	noted

	language used is confusing.	
Optional/General comments	The authors should carefully read through the manuscript to correct grammatical and typographic errors.	noted