



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_14989
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical evaluation and serum lipid profile between individuals with acute hepatitis C
Type of the Article	Original Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	TITLE: was it really acute hepatitis C? Acute was not mentioned again in the text. Methods: should explain how acute hepatitis C was diagnosed, and define acute. Results: Please state p-values on figures 1 and 2. If patients presented acute hepatitis C, why was ALT levels prox. to normality? Discussion: Has any other study evaluated lipid profile of acute hepatitis C patients? If not, this should be mentioned. If yes, please discuss the results of other authors and compare to yours. Aren't these findings a result of systemic inflammation? If you did not evaluate acute hepatitis, there are many studies that evaluated lipid profile of HCV patients in different ways that should be mentioned and compared to your study.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	limitations should be mentioned (listed and discussed)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous
Department, University & Country	Brazil