



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_14989
Title of the Manuscript:	Clinical evaluation and serum lipid profile between individuals with acute hepatitis C
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments (changes are highlighted in yellow colour in the manuscript)

	Reviewer's comment:	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Really its a good piece of work But some comments for revision: How did you decide that your patients were in acute attacks, you do just PCR, so it seems to be its a chronic cases and if so please correct the title to clinical evaluation and serum lipid profile in patients with chronic hepatitis C Different items like: Statistical analysis, ethical approval, sample size estimation were not prescribed please do those.	Thank you for valuable comments. Patients were diagnosed on the basis of CDC criteria. HCV positive patients with elevated ALT was further confirmed by PCR and then enrolled in the study as acute patients and detail is now incorporated in paper. Statistical analysis, ethical approval, sample size detail enclose in paper.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Part of results: Please put a subside titles for every paragraph to be more presentable Tables : age described twice. You can make more table if needed like 1- demographic data 2- complete blood count 3- liver function tests..... - Part of discussion please give me more detailed data about the results you gain about fatty acids In part of conclusion: don't mention all these findings just two to three sentences which are the most important like what was written in the abstract Part of reference : ref number 1 , revise it was found in the introduction and start of discussion revise it please	Subside titles are included in the manuscript Correction has been made as per suggestion. Tables are now splitted for more clarification of results. More detailed discussion is added as per suggestion. Conclusion is now reduced to highlight main out comes only. Reference no. 1 is now revised.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		