



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_9806
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIPLASMODIAL ACTIVITY OF ETHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACTS OF SPILANTHES ULIGINOSA, OCIMUM BASILICUM (SWEET BASIL), HYPTIS SPICIGERA AND CYMBOPOGON CITRATUS ON MICE EXPOSED PLASMODIUM BERGHEI NK 65.
Type of the Article	Original research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	ANTIPLASMODIAL ACTIVITY OF ETHANOLIC LEAF EXTRACTS OF SPILANTHES ULIGINOSA, OCIMUM BASILICUM (SWEET BASIL), HYPTIS SPICIGERA AND CYMBOPOGON CITRATUS ON MICE EXPOSED PLASMODIUM BERGHEI NK 65. 1. REFERENCES Reference format be aligned to the format in <i>International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review</i>. Numbers has to be in chronological order starting from 1 and not at 29 as in this manuscript. The author should follow the format, e.g: Hartl FU, Hayer-Hartl M. Molecular chaperones in the cytosol: from nascent chain to folded protein. Science. 2002;295:1852-	Comment complied with.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	1858. 2. TYPOGRAPHICAL AND OTHER ERRORS i. Page 2 paragraph 4: Clinical malaria cases for 2000, is it 233 or 244 million cases? Countercheck in reference no. 28 and correct accordingly. ii. Page 3 paragraph 2 line 5: “artemesea” correct to <i>Artemisia</i>. Line 13: “<i>uliginosa</i> (Sw)” correct to <i>Spilanthesuliginosa</i> (Sw) iii. All over the manuscript: “Swizz” correct to ... Swiss 3. DISCUSSION The author need to clearly explain the observed differences in bioactivity between the standard drug (chloroquine) a single chemical entity and the crude extracts (poly-ingredients)	Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. Corrected. The explanation in the discussion is explicit enough. Nothing to add.
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	for chances to fractionate to get more potent compounds. The author should also stress on the importance of such findings in helping for the selection of medicinal plants for further isolation of bioactive molecules in drug discovery for malaria.	
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript needs language correction and reduction of repetition of similar activity in the methodology part. See attached comments.	Corrected.
Optional/General comments	There is no mention of Institutional Review Board (IRB) or any other relevant approval for the use of mice in the reported research	Ethical approval now stated.