



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_9805
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIOXIDANT PROPERTY AND CARDIOVASCULAR EFFECTS OF COCONUT (Cocos nucifera) WATER.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Title: "Cardiovascular effects" best replaced by "Anti lipaemic effects". Line 5: It is not clear whether this refers to remedies used in folk medicine. Line13/14: The text gives no data regarding body weight.Hence best removed from abstract. Line15: "administrated group" should read "test group" . This change must be made through out. Line 33, 40,47,56: References for these statements required. Line 55: Rinald 2009 – not given in reference list. Line 61,65: Fin et al, Khan et al- references best given from standard biochemical texts. Line 81: The maturity of the fruit itself is not mentioned. Line 99: The reference Tappel 1978 is not given in the reference list. Line120: The word "increase" must be inserted. Line144: "to" to read "by" Line 165: add the word "content" after "rich". Table 1 and 2: The baseline values must be included.	Title should be as given. Other corrections have been effected. Baseline values not necessary. Inferences were drawn from comparisons between treated and untreated.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The findings that the lipid profiles improved in spite of the body weight increasing needs explanation. The linearly dose dependent beneficial effects would need independent reconfirmation to be credible. A statement to this effect is best included in the discussion. Many grammatical mistakes occur in the text which need correction. The reference section needs to be revamped. For example the reference "Wall MM 2006" Contains many spelling mistakes.	
---	--	--