



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_14318
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Aqueous Leaf Extract of Cissampelos mucronata on Testicular Function Indices in Wistar Rats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Author has made it a lab experiment and its basic content and technicality is OK. BUT Author has not mentioned about the Institutional ethics committee(IEC) approval of his/her experiment . Author has repeatedly mentioned the plant name with aqueous extract in abstract as well as throughout the paper, that can be written as abbreviation ; for example Aqueous leaf extract of cissampelos mucronata can be mentioned as ALECM.	The research proposal was submitted to the relevant research committee in the institution and approval for the work was duly granted. All ethical guidelines as required by the institution were strictly adhered to. Comment noted and corrections effected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Minor revision is required ,author has to mention about the approval of IEC and author has made some spelling mistake in the line 95 .Group was written as roup that should be corrected.	Comment noted and correction effected
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Subject is fresh and explored well, strong point is gross drafting and information is included fairly good Ethical issues: Yes, Author has to take the approval from the IEC before performing the experiment AND that should be mentioned in the manuscript.	