



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_14230
Title of the Manuscript:	BLANCHING AND JUICING EFFECT ON FLAVONOIDS CONTENTS IN COMMONLY CONSUMED LEAFY VEGETABLES IN SOUTH WEST NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	✓ Title of the Table 3: which is written "Tannin content" correct to "Flavonoid content". ✓ According to the mean test Colocasia esculenta is significant different to Baselarubra, Manihotesculenta and Crassocephalumruben. Correct to line 112/113. ✓ Line 113, the expression was repeated: "Crassocephalumruben andCrassocephalumruben." ✓ Line 129, the information is incorrect: "no significant ($p>0.05$) difference was observed among the vegetables except Hibiscus esculenta which was significantly ($p<0.05$) higher." The table 3 presented letters a, b and c, there are significant difference. ✓ Line 153, correct: "From the reports obtained, blanched Amaranthusviridis contained highest flavonoids content while lowest (870.13 ± 115.19 mg/ 100g dry weight)" to "From the reports obtained, blanched Amaranthusviridis contained highest flavonoids content (870.13 ± 115.19 mg/ 100g dry weight)," ✓ Line 156: For the affirmation "A significant increase in flavonoids content was observed in four of the vegetables while others displayed decrease ($p<0.05$) when compared with the fresh of the corresponding vegetables.." is necessary a statistical test. This test was done? ✓ Line 160: "respect to the structure". What's	Corrected Corrected Deleted Corected Corrected Corrected (Percentage was used to indicate the significance) Rephrase to reflect the intention of the



SDI Review Form 1.6

	this structure? Chemistry? Why? ✓ Line 162: What's the relationship between the phenol content and flavonoids content? ✓ Line 179: "in similar manner either". Table 3 shows a large difference in the content of flavonoids among processes.	author indicating variation to thermal response due to structural difference of flavonoids Corrected
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	✓ Table 1 and 2 showed high different between the Amaranthus species. What is the possible explication?	This is explained in discussion
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The discussion need of the comparison of the papers of the same species or variety of plants. The flavonoids content was extensively studied in plants. Why the contents of flavonoids decrease with blanching and juicing?	Explained in discussion