



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review
Manuscript Number:	2014_IJBcRR_13896
Title of the Manuscript:	<u>Association between follicle stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) polymorphism and polycystic ovary syndrome among Egyptian women</u>
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Authors should include an explication about the calculation of size of sample (why only 64 patients with POCS?). Perhaps it more patients with diagnosis of POCS in future studmust be including ies. <<Most available studies were focused on position 680, whereas polymorphism 307 was less considered..>>. Please, authors must include some references about the studies. I consider that authors should include some references in this part of the article.	-In our country, PCR technique is not considered as a routine work. It is very expensive and it is usually done in research work only. We don't have a fund for our research and our institute doesn't cover the costs of our work, so we couldn't expand the number of our samples. In the future, if we have the opportunity, this observation will have to be taken into consideration in increasing the no. of patients with diagnosis of PCOS. -Per your suggestion about studies concerning polymorphisms at positions 307 and 680, we added references about the studies and renumbered the references that followed them. We hope that you find these references are now effectively used.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	It is a well-written research article on the basis of a fairly conducted investigation. More than 80 percent of the references should be from the last six years. Authors have made a review of literature so it is very important the incorporation of actually references. Perhaps authors should eliminate obsolete references.	-We added some recent references and renumbered the references that followed them. If you wish further particulars; We shall be glad to furnish them if you wanted to do it.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Authors present a study about the evaluation whether polymorphism of FSHR at Ala307Thr codon is associated with PCOS and with clinical features of PCOS patients in Egypt. It is an interested research arrticle for professional in this area. The study suggests that the variant of the FSHR gene may act as a causative factor of PCOS in Egyptian women. So this conclusion has repercussion in clinical actuations.	-We are very grateful for your comments and thoughtful suggestions. We would point out that such interest would be of a great benefit concerning our future works. Thank you very much for your patience and the highly appreciated effort spent in following our manuscript.
---	--	--