



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_15859
Title of the Manuscript:	SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS AMONG THE PEOPLE OF EKITI, SOUTH-WEST, NIGERIA; A CASE STUDY OF HBV, HCV AND SYPHILIS.
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The study is a good attempt by the researcher(s) and it is an issue of public health importance, however, there are few grey areas that need to be clarified/corrected</p> <p><u>TITLE</u> The study is not a community based study, but rather a hospital based study carried out among clients that visited the hospital (who may be ill, though may be apparently healthy) and thus cannot be used as a true reflection of Ekiti community as a whole, hence the title could be modified as thus:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS AMONG CLIENTS VISITING A TERTIARY HEALTH INSTITUTION IN EKITI STATE, NIGERIA; A CASE STUDY OF HBV, HCV AND SYPHILIS" <p style="text-align: center;">OR</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. "SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS AMONG CLIENTS VISITING EKITI STATE UNIVERSITY TEACHING HOSPITAL; A CASE STUDY OF HBV, HCV AND SYPHILIS" <p>OR AS THE RESEARCHER(S) DEEM FIT, BUT THE FACT THAT THE STUDY WAS CARRIED OUT AMONG HOSPITAL CLIENTS SHOULD BE REFLECTED IN THE TITLE</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p><u>METHODOLOGY</u></p> <p>STUDY AREA AND POPULATION: DESCRIPTION OF THE STUDY AREA SHOULD PRECEDE THE STUDY SUBJECTS AND THE STUDY AREA SHOULD GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE TERTIARY HEALTH INSTITUTION AS WELL AS THE HAEMATOLOGY DEPARTMENT</p> <p>METHODS: AUTHOR(S) SHOULD PLEASE INDICATE IF ALL THE CLIENTS THAT WENT THROUGH THE FIRST STAGE OF THE SCREENING WERE TESTED AGAIN WITH ELISA FOR CONFIRMATION OR ONLY THOSE THAT WERE POSITIVE FROM THE RAPID TEST SCREENING WENT THROUGH CONFIRMATORY TEST? THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE OF THE FINANCIAL BURDEN ON A CLIENT WHO MAY NOT REQUIRE "ELISA" OR WAS THERE A WAY OF SHIFTING THE FINANCIAL BURDEN FROM THE CLIENTS? IN ADDITION, FOR THOSE THAT WERE FOUND POSITIVE DURING THE STUDY PERIOD, WERE THEY REFERRED TO THE APPROPRIATE AUTHORITIES/PHYSICIANS FOR PROPER MANAGEMENT? THIS IS ALSO IMPORTANT AS IT WILL SERVE AS BENEFITS FOR CLIENTS THAT TESTED POSITIVE TO ANY OF THE STIs</p>	
--	--	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>In the abstract and methods sections, 4mls (figure) is not advisable to start a sentence, it may be changed to words or a word should precede the figure</p> <p>“Syphilis is the oldest known sexually transmitted infection (STI)”this statement as stated in the introduction section of the manuscript may need to be referenced</p> <p>The discussion should be written in past tense and it is also advisable for researcher(s) to give plausible reasons for the similarities and differences seen in their research and previous ones</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>All other typographical/grammatical errors should be modified as appropriate</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adeoye, Oluwatosin Adediran
Department, University & Country	Department Of Community Medicine, Lautech Teaching Hospital, Nigeria