



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_15859
Title of the Manuscript:	SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED INFECTIONS AMONG THE PEOPLE OF EKITI, SOUTH-WEST, NIGERIA; A CASE STUDY OF HBV, HCV AND SYPHILIS.
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change title to: PREVALENCE OF HBV, HCV AND SYPHILIS AMONG THE PEOPLE OF EKITI IN SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA 2. Use only one decimal point while expressing frequencies as percentages (%) positive. 3. Don't start sentence with figure but with word, e.g. use four ml blood NOT 4ml blood. <p>Ethical issues: Yes, there is no indication in the manuscript that the Author(s) obtained ethical clearance from an IRB or informed consent from the people tested. This should be stated clearly under 'Materials & Methods'</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The idea of changing the title to PREVALENCE OF HBV, HCV AND SYPHILIS AMONG THE PEOPLE OF EKITI IN SOUTH-WESTERN NIGERIA is a good idea. 2. Okay 3. Okay, noted. <p>Ethical issues: Statements of ethical clearance and informed consent were clearly stated under Materials and Methods (Study Area and Subjects).</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		