



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	International STD Research & Reviews
Manuscript Number:	2014_I-SRR_14352
Title of the Manuscript:	Chlamydial Proctitis in patients with Chlamydial Cervicitis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Please add in the p values to indicate variables that are not statistically significant. Please see lines 78-80 in the manuscript.</p> <p>Please indicate why patients were treated with 2g of Azithromycin. Which treatment guideline was followed? According to the Japanese, CDC and BCCDC guidelines, 1g single dose is still recommended?</p> <p>Where patients that are were co-infected with <i>Neisseria gonorrhoearhoeae</i> also treated. This is not indicated in the manuscript, and if not please elaborate on what were the outcomes of those patients, did they go untreated?</p>	<p>We inserted ($P = 0.382$), line 87.</p> <p>We inserted a sentence "Japanese Society for Sexually Transmitted Infections recommends single-dose treatment with 4 tablets of 250 mg Zithromax® (1000 mg), or 2000 mg Zithromax SR® dry syrup for chlamydial infections.", line 67-69.</p> <p>We added sentences "The patients co-infected with <i>Neisseria gonorrhoearhoeae</i> simultaneously received a single dose of intravenous ceftriaxone sodium (CTRX, Rocephin®, 1000 mg, Roche Pharmaceuticals, South San Francisco, CA, USA).", line70-73 and "Among the 6 patients, the follow-up TMA test 3 weeks after CTRX administration was negative for <i>N. gonorrhoearhoeae</i> in all cases.", line 89-90.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Just the point of whether patients with <i>Neisseria gonorrhoearhoeae</i> infection where left untreated. However, I await the author's response.	
Optional/General comments	The subject matter of the research is very interesting and relevant, however, it is important that the author addresses the following comments below under the compulsory revision section.	