



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_14379
Title of the Manuscript:	Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of phosphate solubilizing rhizobacteria isolated from faba bean rhizosphere in Meknes region, Morocco
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
The modifications introduced by the authors improved their manuscript. Their effort is appreciated. However, I am still concerned about the quality of the discussion of the results presented. This was already warned in a previous evaluation. Indeed, description of the results is not enough important if it is not connected with processes and merely the description cannot point out conclusions. For instance, when referring to the ability -exhibited by some of their isolates- to grow between 10°C and 40°C the authors say “The results of this test are in concordance with previous studies [26, 27]. The conditions in which the bacteria live greatly influence their metabolism and especially their nutrition. All of our strains are mesophilic showed a good temperature tolerance (between 20 and 40 ° C) with an optimum growth at 30°C, some strains are thermophilic were able to grow at 50°C like P24 and Rh8.”. Obviously this is NOT a proper discussion. I my opinion, this VERY important aspect still demands <u>more conscious and rigorous work</u>. Besides, this manuscript needs careful revision. There are still several spelling and grammatical errors (many perhaps!). I marked some of them, not all of them. Therefore I strongly suggest the authors to seek help from colleagues proficient in English.	Thank you sir, for your precious collaboration and assistance - The discussion was detailed in the manuscript (labelled with orange colour). - The manuscript was revised carefully