



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_14637
Title of the Manuscript:	PREVALENCE OF NON TYPHOIDAL SALMONELLA AMONG HIV/AIDS PATIENTS AND POULTRY CHICKEN IN EKITI STATE
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. Statistics used for the data analysis may be defined in the manuscript 2. X and Y axis to be defined in Figure 3. How the micro-organisms were identified as NTS, please describe	Thanks so much. I agreed with your comments; and so, I have done the needful.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Scientific names should be italic throughout the article 2. References are not in journal format 3. Reference may be added for the statement given in line number 58-60. 4. Reference number with date of approval may be given for the Federal Medical Centre (FMC) ethical clearance. 5. Reference of methods/processing protocol used for Human and Livestock samples to be given in the methodology section as per journal format 6. Description should be given for the data given in parenthesis/brackets	All your comments/corrections have been attended to.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. Language may be more crisp 2. Conclusions of study can be given Ethical clearance number may be mentioned in the manuscript as given in the methodology	I agreed