



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Microbiology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	2014_BMRJ_13360
Title of the Manuscript:	Frequency of MDR pseudomonas aeruginosa and extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producer isolated from clinical sample of Khorramabad city, iran
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. The author should add tables, figures and statistics to make the work scientific 2. The author should italicize the microorganism in this manuscript. 3. The prevalence rate of ESBL should be shown in the abstract 4. The concentration of the antibiotics used should be inserted into the work.	Tables and figures were cited. Microorganism names were italicized. Prevalence rate of ESBL was shown in the abstract. The concentration of the antibiotics cited in manuscript.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The author should show the resistant pattern of the isolates 2. The author should give more recommendation.	The resistant pattern of the isolated was shown in manuscripts. More recommendation was cited.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. The author should check the spellings of the microorganisms. Please clarify the ethical issue, if any	The spellings of the microorganisms were checked. Thank you for your comments.