



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_14911
Title of the Manuscript:	Polypropylene Mesh for Recurrent Incisional Hernia: Different Operative Techniques
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. There are too many tables in the paper (13). Perhaps the authors can unite tables 1, 2, 3, 9 since the data is repeated. Moreover, tables 8 and 11 can be united to one with the title- intra and post-operative complications</p> <p>2. There is a typing mistake in lines 116 (the phrase "could be" is duplicated) 379 and 387.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idit Melnik
Department, University & Country	Department of general and vascular surgery, Barzilai university medical center , Israel