



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | <u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u> |
| Manuscript Number: | 2014_BJMMR_14911 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Polypropylene Mesh for Recurrent Incisional Hernia: Different Operative Techniques |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | <p>1. There are too many tables in the paper (13). Perhaps the authors can unite tables 1, 2, 3, 9 since the data is repeated. Moreover, tables 8 and 11 can be united to one with the title- intra and post-operative complications</p> <p>2. There is a typing mistake in lines 116 (the phrase "could be" is duplicated) 379 and 387.</p> | <p>We agree –Done –Now 9 only</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Many thanks</p> |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |