Reviewer Comment:

I have commented those issues mentioned in author's feedback. I think that you need to have policy in future regarding letter explaining all the changes. It is too laborious to compare original and final manuscript. "The yellow color area" showing changes is unspecific and not practical.

Please see in author's feedback from changes made by red color. They are obligatory.

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) Baseline data concerning study population should be shown. If exclusion criteria are as mentioned it should be shown that these parameters have measured. It is of major concern that it was stated that use of cholesterol altering medication was exclusion criterium but then during phase I diet patients were advised to stop medication. I am really concerned this. 2) The study is small and non-controlled. Concerning all data absolute values±SEM should be shown – not only relative changes.	Baseline data for study population table-1 has been added in the manuscript. Data absolute values ± SEM has added in tables 3,4 and 5 in addition to % change.
	 3) It is well known that bioavailability and absorption of tocotrienols vary according to many known and unknown factors. This is not at all discussed? Was is it monitored by laboratory tests? Add 26/10/14: it need to be discussed 4) The last sentence regarding future is inaccurate: what are other naturally occurring compounds? 5) The impact of AHA Step-1 diet for the results need to be discussed 	The bioavailability and absorption of tocotrienols vary with many factors but not related to our study objective. The list of other naturally-occurring compounds has been added in the discussion (in conclusion). The impact of AHA Step-1 diet was reported in the manuscript (Results and Discussion sections).
	6) Why there was washout between phase III and IV – this may have modified seriously results. Add 26/10/2014: it needs to explained why?	There was "washout period" between phases III, IV, V and modified in the manuscript.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		
	The subject itself is interesting. Because there are already quite many studies of this area it would be more useful carry out larger controlled studies than small un-controlled studies.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anonymous Reviewer, Reviewer preferred to be anonymous.	
Department, University & Country	Finland	