



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_13820
Title of the Manuscript:	Dose-dependent Modulation of Lipid Parameters and Inflammatory Biomarkers by δ -Tocotrienol in Hypercholesterolemic Subjects

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>Several comments performed in the first revision were not addressed or answered in the current version of the manuscript. The authors did not provide a detailed response to understand compulsory revision comments which were criticized in the first revision. Over-foundation at introduction is reflected by the high number of reference citations for a research article.</p> <p>There is inconsistency between results for baseline cholesterol at Table 1 and Figure 3. Six figures and five tables are excessive for the data presented in the work. In the revised version, there is data different from the first version without any explanation (i.e. weight 69 vs 78.1 Kg).</p> <p>Data regarding FGF-b and PDGF were excluded without any explanation.</p>	<p>We have tried to address all the concerns of the reviewers in the present version.</p> <p>Introduction has been revised according to other reviewer's suggestion. The references have been reduced to 62 in the present manuscript.</p> <p>The values have been corrected after recalculation of data in all the Tables and new figures have been drawn.</p> <p>Table 2 (ratios of TC/HDL-C ---) and Figure 6 (HDL-chol) have been deleted to reduce the number of Tables and Figures.</p> <p>The correct value is 69 ± 7, and is modified in Table 1.</p> <p>In the present manuscript, the focus is only on cytokines, FGF-b and PDGF are growth factors, and therefore the title has been modified—Inflammatory Biomarkers have been replaced with Cytokines and RNA. Moreover, the background information of these two growth factors was deleted from the Introduction section of the original manuscript because some reviewers had suggested that we should reduce the Introduction section.</p>