



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_10435
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Cranial Computed Tomography(CT) in Elderly Patients Presenting after a Fall: Can We Predict Those Having Abnormal Head CT Scans
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article is well written and performed	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Reclassification statistics (IDI determination) may be performed for assessment predictive value of intracranial injury Ethical declaration should be added in the text of the manuscript Formula for sample size should be provided	Ethical declaration has been added and highlighted in line: 117 and 118 Proprietary information for power calculation added and highlighted in lines: 193-94 and lines 257-58
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If there any competing interest please clarify.	No competing interests are present and this is noted and highlighted in line 8 and again in lines 284-285