



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<u>British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</u>
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMMR_10435
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of Cranial Computed Tomography(CT) in Elderly Patients Presenting after a Fall: Can We Predict Those Having Abnormal Head CT Scans
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>In reviewing this retrospective original article: This study attempt to provide answers to important, previously unanswered questions The abstract: adequately summarize the contents of the paper. The objectives of the study were totally answered in the conclusion The introduction: It provide the rationale for the study, focus and relevant Methods: scientifically sound and suitable for the study, it is clear and reproducible. Results: The authors clearly and systematically provide the results and were well organized Discussion: They commented on their results in light of previous studies with explanation when needed. Reviewing the pertinent portion of the literature Limitation of the study: Were clearly noted by the authors Tables: The number is adequate and summarized the data Summary: It add to the medical literature</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Arrange keywords alphabetically (line 35) • Numbers at the start of any sentence should be written in letters rather the figure otherwise some words should come before 	<p>Keywords have been arranged alphabetically and highlighted (line36)</p> <p>Numerals have been edited to words and highlighted in yellow in lines 25 with "we identified" added and line 25 "ninety six", line 26, 29,139,150</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>them (lines 24, 25, 28, 132, 149)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers less than ten should be written in letters (lines 28, 121, 126 and similar others) Abbreviation when used for the first time should be written in full (31 ED, 73 ERM) Tables: remove internal horizontal and vertical lines, write heading to the first empty cell of table 2, in table 3: write percent rather than % Title of figure 1, was missing and this legend should be placed below the figure In writing the P value put zero before the period then followed by three digits (0.xxx) 	<p>These numerals have been edited to words and highlighted in lines: 29, 124, 126, 127, 129, 139, 150, 155, 156, 183, 193, 221, 225, 257 Please advise if additional changes need to be made or feel free to make them as part of the editorial process</p> <p>Line 31 ED has been added and highlighted Line 73 EMR for electronic medical records has been added and highlighted</p> <p>Internal vertical and horizontal lines have been removed in Tables 2,3,4,5 Table 2 heading has been edited /changed Table 3 % symbol has been changed to "percent"</p> <p>Title of Figure 1 has been placed below the Figure and the legend has been added; both have been highlighted</p> <p>The numeral "0" has been added before the decimal in Tables 2 and 5 and highlighted The numeral "0" has been added and highlighted to lines: 124, 141, 191, 234, 235, 236, 257</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		