



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14945
Title of the Manuscript:	ADAMS TYPE HYBRID BLOCK METHODS ASSOCIATED WITH CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIAL FOR THE SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Observation(s) Abstract: The first line of the abstract should read as "The new Hybrid Adams type Block Methods (HATBMs) for step length $k=2,3$ and 4 were developed for the solution of ...". The last line of the abstract should read as "All the newly constructed methods were demonstrated with numerical experiments to ascertain their level of convergence". 1) Page 1: Introduction, the 4th line occur instead of occurs. 2) Page 2: were instead of where. 3) page 2: Serial number 33, There should be a full stop after the equation. 4) page 2: serial number 34 "However, $T_0(x)$ and $T_1(x)$ are constant terms instead of constant term". 5) page 2: serial number 37: The k-step general linear multistep method can be written as... 6) page 2 serial number 41: There should be a full stop before the subheading "Background of the study". 7) Page 3 There should be a full stop after equation (2). 8) page 3 Serial number 47: Most recent researchers who have worked in this area amongst, them are ([1-5]) to mention only a few, in their proposed methods...	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	9) page 3: There should be a full stop after equation (4). 10) page4: There should be a full stop after equation (6). 11) page 4:Serial number73 the equation is, $\left[-\frac{3(x-x_n)^2}{h} - \frac{19}{3} \frac{(x-x_n)^3}{h^2} - \frac{4(x-x_n)^4}{h^3} - \frac{2}{15}h + \frac{4}{5} \frac{(x-x_n)^5}{h^4} \right] f_{n+1}$. 12) page5: Serial number 86, Equation (4) is collocated at ... 13) page5: There should be a full stop after equation (10). 14) page 6: There should be a full stop after equation (11). 15) page 6: Serial number98 reads as “schemes as our three step Adams type hybrid block method(ATHBMs)”. 16) page 7: There should be a full stop after equations(13),(14) and (15). 17) page 9: serial number 136, “Equation (17) not (3.14)”. 18) page 9: serial number 139 “The two-step block hybrid Adams type method, not methods” 19) page 9: serial number 143 where. 20) page 10: serial number 152, method (9) not method (a) 21) page 10: serial number 154 methods (13) and (17) not methods (b) and (c) 22) page 15: serial number 192 “We conclude that this research ...” 23) page 15: serial number 195 should read as “values of $k = 2, 3$ and 4 performed better than some of the existing methods, particularly in problems 1 and 2, for example see[2]”. General comment(s) i) For all the problems solved by the ADAMS TYPE HYBRID BLOCK METHDS, they are simple linear problems, except example 4 which is also a simple nonlinear problem. These examples can be solved by the classical fourth-order Runge-Kutta method. Therefore, it would be nice to see whether the methods exhibits the expected order of convergence by showing plots (log(h), log(error at one point)). The slope of these lines should be equal to the expected order of	
--	---	--



SDI Review Form 1.6

	convergence of the methods. iii) It would also be nice if the author(s) should include plots of the stability regions of the newly derived methods so that one can see whether the presented methods are A-stable or $A(\alpha)$-stable or not. Recommendation If these comments and observations are correctly carried out, I recommend that the paper should be accepted for publication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yakubu, Dauda Gulibur
Department, University & Country	Mathematical Sciences Department, Abubakar Tafawa Balewa University, Bauchi State, Nigeria