



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14945
Title of the Manuscript:	ADAMS TYPE HYBRID BLOCK METHODS ASSOCIATED WITH CHEBYSHEV POLYNOMIAL FOR THE SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Line 9: Grammer inconsistency with line 8 “polynomial approximation are adopted to derive” Change to “polynomial approximation were adopted to derive” Line 11: -Shows should be changed to show -are changed to were Line 12: Reconstruct last sentence of abstract to express full meaning of implimentaions of methods Line 15: Capitalize Differential Equation Line 21: Change originates to originate Line 22: Change occurs to occur Line 25: Change non linear to non linear equations involving complex Line 18-26: Add reference to your claim Line 27-30: Add reference to your claim Line 28:	Noted All noted Done Done



SDI Review Form 1.6

	Change where to were Line 29: Add reference Line 34: Change term to terms Line 37: Change generated to general Line 36 and 39 Add sources to your definations or define all the parameters in the definations Line 45: Add comma after example Line 48: Change propose methods to proposed methods, Line 54: Use the correct mathematics symbol for y prime Line 65: Change specially to especially Line 195 Justify the statement “performed excellently well than the existing methods particularly” seeing that you did not compare your results with another author(s). Otherwise remove the statement than the existing methods	Noted Done
Minor REVISION comments	Add more referneces	
Optional/General comments		