



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_15067
Title of the Manuscript:	On Agebraic properties of Fuzzy Membership Sequenced Multisets
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There is a need to separate the proofs for the union and intersection operators in proposition 3.2. They are mixed and create ambiguity for understanding. 2. Whole the paper is mixed with the authors contribution and the previous work of cited researchers. It is difficult to differentiate the original contribution. 3. The references are not properly managed. In citation during text don't match. For example, in citation [1] with L. Zedah but in references it is at place (2) 4. This paper, at this stage cannot be accepted. The authors should revise and resubmit the paper.	The proposition 3.2 has been resolved into propositions 3.2 and 3.3 accordingly. The original contributions are the propositions with their corresponding proofs while the previous results are the theorems with their corresponding cited references. Noted and correction effected Revised.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Number of typos and grammatical mistakes. The abstract should be more comprehensive. 2. Formatting and enumeration of titles and subtitles should be in continuous sequence.	Noted and reconsidered The enumeration of titles are sequentially numbered continuously from 1-4, while the subtitles are sequentially numbered continuously relative to the sections of the paper
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is suggested to revise whole the paper and complete the proofs in a comprehensive manners.	Noted and effected