SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science**
Manuscript Number:	**2014_BJMCS_14857**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Periodic solutions of a time delay stage-structured prey-predator model**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**1. Initial conditions play important role in developing of mathematical model. Without this model cannot describe real world phenomena. This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.** **2. Author has not found the conditions for boundedness and positivity of the solution for the system. This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.** **3. Theorem 3.1 on pg.no.9 is incomplete. What is significant of finding μ_2 ? Author has not discussed about this. This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.** **4. Numerical section must be rewrite.This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.**	
Minor REVISION comments	**1. In the numerical results some information on the chosen parameters, if representative of real prey-predator model should be given.** **2. The authors did not present any comparison with real data. This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.** **3. The biological meaning of the result must be fully explained. This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.**	

SDI Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	**While this is a interesting paper containing useful mathematics and a rigorous treatment of a problem with many applications, there are some deficiencies that require revision. This has to be corrected in the revised manuscript.**	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Rachana Pathak**
Department, University & Country	**University of Lucknow, India**