

Editorial Comments:

The author needs to make some changes. I say this since the presentation of the paper is the same with another paper of the author. See the address

<https://www.google.ro/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&cad=rja&uact=8&ved=0CB4QFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.ijpam.eu%2Fen%2Findex.php%2Fijdea%2Farticle%2Fdownload%2Fijdea.v12i2.968%2F92&ei=K0IqVNiZA8TYarvHgPgL&usg=AFQjCNHPvha2hcz76xfLw77eTBjCwkVLbw&bvm=bv.76477589,d.bGQ>

Moreover in the new introduction the author must to say which is the contribution of this paper comparing with related references that study similar problems. I saw this since in the literature many authors study such problems. In addition the problem is a particular case to a more general problem involving the $p(x)$ laplacian and then as one of the reviewer say the first impression about this paper is not positive.

Author's Feedback:

The Editor is right about the similarity of the introductions of two paper. So the introduction can be rewritten. That is OK.

For the second request of the Editor the followings can be written:

The problem discussed in our paper, as you see, investigated in two different approach:

In the first discussion, we use variational approach. This is a very common method used many different authors. The main difference in our paper is that, we do not use the well-known auxiliary results/lemmas such as Mountain-pass theorem or Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz's method. Our approach relies mainly on the first eigenvalue of the p -laplace equation which is a positive constant. As for the case $p(x)$, as it is well-known, the characterization of the first eigenvalue of the $p(x)$ -laplace equation is not clear, that is, it is not clear that even if the first eigenvalue exists, and if exists different from zero (in generally it equals to zero). To make sure the first eigen value exist and different from zero some strict onditions must be applied to the variable exponent function $p(x)$ such as monotonicity. So using the first eigenvalue to get the solutions of the equations is not a simple work in the case $p(x)$, that is, the results obtained in our paper cannot be generalized to the $p(x)$ case unless applying some strict assumptions on $p(x)$.

For the second discussion, we use monotone operator method which is not very commonly used for the problems investigated in our paper.

Moreover, as far as the author knows, there is no paper which deals a problem in a such way, that is using the first egienvalue and monotone operator methods together for the same problem, as in the present paper.