



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14769
Title of the Manuscript:	A Class of Implicit Six Step Hybrid Backward Differentiation Formulas for the Solution of Second Order Differential Equations
Type of the Article	Numerical Mathematics

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1) An interesting Hybrid BDF (HBDF) method for the solution of 2nd order ODEs of the general nonlinear form $y''=f(x, y, y')$. However the examples are given for two linear, simple, special case of 2nd order ODEs. It would be good if more challenging nonlinear examples are also given. 2) In line 171, it is better to have the solution to the proposed method and the absolute error. It is easier to visualise the absolute error. 3) The error constants for methods (9)-(13) on line 167 are incredibly large. Please check ! 4) Does comparison of method developed by Mohammed [8] involved with all the methods developed by the authors, especially on line 176 ? 5) Author should correct the grammar mistakes, especially in the conclusion section.	1) An interesting Hybrid BDF (HBDF) method for the solution of 2nd order ODEs of the general nonlinear form $y''=f(x, y, y')$. However the examples are given for two linear, simple, special case of 2nd order ODEs. It would be good if more challenging nonlinear examples are also given. we have included the non-linear problem Proposed by Yahaya and Badmus (2009) 2) In line 171, it is better to have the solution to the proposed method and the absolute error. It is easier to visualise the absolute error. We have effected the correction in Tables 2 and 3. 3) The error constants for methods (9)-(13) on line 167 are incredibly large. Please check ! The main method is equation (9), other methods are obtained from the derivatives of Continuous form to make (9) self-starting that is reason we that errors 4) Does comparison of method developed by Mohammed [8] involved with all the



SDI Review Form 1.6

		methods developed by the authors, especially on line 176 ? Our research is an extension of Mohammed [8] and Awari [2]. Both Mahammed [8] and Awari [22] are no-Hybrid method while our method is hybrid 5) Author should correct the grammar mistakes, especially in the conclusion section. We have done the correction in conclusion Our article is Original research papers
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	none	