



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_13568
Title of the Manuscript:	Taylor series approach for solving multi-level large scale fractional programming problem with stochastic parameters in constraints
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1) The definition of the stochastic optimization, in the first paragraph of the introduction is an important definition you should take it from a text book not from papers. 2) In the paper there are many symbols, thus the author should make a list of symbols in the end of the paper or at the beginning. 3) I page 3 you assumed the parameters are normally distributed, but if not??? can I convert them to be normal distribution?? 4) Equations 6,7 and 8 = equations 2, 3 and 4. Thus you can referee to them without retyping it again. Same equations 5 and 9. 5) I hope to see the steps in page 8 on an algorithm flowchart, if you can do that it will be better.	1) We added the definition of the stochastic from a text book. 2) We made a table of symbols in the end. 3) Actually, the parameters are normally distributed. 4) In this section, we transformed for deterministic multi-level large scale fractional programming problem (MLLSFP). 5) We added an algorithm flowchart.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1) In the references use a same method in writing the references. 2) There are some typing errors; I marked them on the paper.	1) The references were sorted according to family name. 2) Done.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Very good and useful paper. If there any ethical issue, please clarify. If there any competing interest issue, please clarify.	Thank you, it was a useful notes.
---	--	-----------------------------------