



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14811
Title of the Manuscript:	DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS A TECHNIQUE FOR MEASURING EFFICIENCY
Type of the Article	Case Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The information presented was new. The methodology and applications are sufficient and the purpose of the study is well-defined. The findings are discussed according to the purpose. The conclusions were supported by the data. The subject addressed in this article is worthy of investigation. After reviewing this manuscript I did not find any particular correction.	Ok