



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJMCS_14321
Title of the Manuscript:	Modified Matrix Modular Cryptosystems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	I carefully read out the paper and found it usefull and efficient for the current research community working on the Cryptosystem.The author is on the main stream of research community of Crypto system.The presented technique is efficient. I suggest the author to gave some more concrete examples.	In the article, there are examples of calculations, but examples of comparisons and possible applications will be discussed in a separate article.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		